IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§
	§
v.	§ CIVIL NO.: 1:13cv416-HSO-RHW
	§ CRIMINAL NO.: 1:12cr33-HSO-RHW
DANTE LAMAR CAMERON	<u> </u>

CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY

A final order adverse to the applicant having been filed in the captioned case, in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a state court or a proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, or the detention arises out of a judgment and conviction in federal court which is being challenged pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255, the Court, considering the record in this case and the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2253, Rule 22(b) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and Rule 11 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 and 2255 Cases in the United States District Courts, hereby finds that:

- X A Certificate of Appealability should not issue. The applicant has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.
- A Certificate of Appealability should issue for the following specific issue(s):

REASONS:

Defendant has not made a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Elizalde v. Dretke, 362 F.3d 323, 328 (5th Cir. 2004). Defendant's Motion, the record, and relevant legal authorities do not demonstrate that Defendant is entitled to relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. See Memorandum Opinion and Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

DATE: May 14, 2014.

s | Halil Suleyman Özerden

HALIL SULEYMAN OZERDEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE